of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before June 14, 2021. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 150 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For Caltrans: Renetta Cloud, Chief, Environmental Studies "A", Caltrans District 8, 464 W 4th Street, 6th Floor, MS–823, San Bernardino, CA, 92401–1400, Office Hours: 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Office Phone: (909) 383–6323, Email: Renetta.Cloud@dot.ca.gov. For FHWA: David Tedrick at (916) 498–5024 or email david.tedrick@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective July 1, 2007, the FHWA assigned, and the Caltrans assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Notice is hereby given that the Caltrans has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following highway project in the State of California: Reconstruct and widen Monroe Street at Interstate 10 (I-10) to improve the operational performance of the Monroe Street interchange. The Monroe Street interchange is on I–10 at Post Mile (PM) Revised (R) 54.7, between PM R53.9 (approximately 2 miles east of the Jefferson Street interchange) and PM R55.5 (approximately 1 mile west of the Jackson Street interchange). The project site is centrally located within the City of Indio at the crossroad of I-10, Monroe Street, and the Coachella Valley Stormwater Channel (CVSC) in Riverside County, California. The proposed project will reconstruct Monroe Street at the interchange, including the existing on- and offramps, the Monroe Street I-10 overcrossing, and the bridge over the CVSC. The proposed project will also add an auxiliary lane in the eastbound direction between the Monroe Street and Jackson Street interchange, acceleration/deceleration lanes at the westbound Monroe Street on- and offramps, and a deceleration lane at the eastbound Monroe Street off-ramp. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Assessment (EA)/ Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the project, approved on December 18, 2020, and in other documents in the FHWA project records. The EA/FONSI, and other project records are available by

contacting Caltrans at the addresses provided above.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

- 1. Council on Environmental Quality regulations;
- 2. National Environmental Policy Act
 (NEPA):
- 3. Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP–21);
 - 4. Americans with Disabilities Act;
- 5. Department of Transportation Act of 1966:
 - 6. Federal Aid Highway Act of 1970;
- 7. Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990;
 - 8. Noise Control Act of 1970;
- 9. 23 CFR part 772 FHWA Noise Standards, Policies and Procedures;
- 10. Department of Transportation Act of 1966, Section 4(f);
 - 11. Clean Water Act of 1977 and 1987;
 - 12. Safe Drinking Water Act;
- 13. Executive Order 12088, Federal Compliance with Pollution Control;
 - 14. Flood Disaster Protection Act;
- 15. Executive Order 11988,

Floodplain Management

- 16. Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973:
- 17. Migratory Bird Treaty Act;
- 18. Fish and Wildlife Coordination
- 19. Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands:
- 20. Executive Order 13112, Invasive Species;
 - 21. Antiquities Act of 1906;
- 22. National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended;
 - 23. Historic Sites Act of 1935;
 - 24. Farmland Protection Policy Act;
- 25. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976;
- 26. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980;
- 27. Toxic Substances Control Act;
- 28. Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act of 1992;
- Occupational Safety and Health Act;
- 30. Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice and Low-Income Populations;
- 31. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1).

Issued on: January 7, 2021.

Rodney Whitfield,

Director, Financial Services, Federal Highway Administration, California Division.

[FR Doc. 2021-00507 Filed 1-12-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-2020-0133]

Collecting Proposals for Future Use of the Historic Vessel NS Savannah

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Maritime Administration (MARAD) plans to provide for the use or disposition of the historic vessel, the Nuclear Ship NS *Savannah*, once decommissioning of the ship's nuclear reactor is completed. Due to the vessel's historic importance and the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), MARAD is publishing this notice to solicit the public's views regarding MARAD's proposed uses for the *Savannah* and provide the public the opportunity to submit any alternative uses.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 15, 2021. MARAD will consider comments filed after this date to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by DOT Docket Number MARAD–2020–0133 by any one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Search MARAD-2020-0133 and follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Email: Rulemakings.MARAD@ dot.gov. Include MARAD-2020-0133 in the subject line of the message and provide your comments in the body of the email or as an attachment.
- Mail or Hand Delivery: Docket
 Management Facility is in the West
 Building, Ground Floor of the U.S.
 Department of Transportation. The
 Docket Management Facility location
 address is: U.S. Department of
 Transportation, MARAD–2020–0133,
 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West
 Building, Room W12–140, Washington,
 DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m.,
 Monday through Friday, except on
 Federal holidays.

Note: If you mail or hand-deliver your comments, we recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a telephone number in the body of your

document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and specific docket number. All comments received will be posted without change to the docket at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting comments, see the section entitled Public Participation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Erhard W. Koehler, (202) 680-2066 or via email at marad.history@dot.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during business hours. The FIRS is available twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question. You will receive a reply during normal business hours. You may send mail to Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, Office of Chief Counsel, Division of Legislation and Regulations, W24-220, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Built in 1959, the NS Savannah was the world's first nuclear-powered commercial vessel and served as a signature element of President Eisenhower's Atoms for Peace program. While in service, the Savannah demonstrated the peaceful use of atomic power as well as the feasibility of nuclear-powered merchant vessels. The vessel was retired from active service in 1970 and was registered as a National Historic Landmark in 1991. MARAD has already defueled the nuclear reactor of the Savannah and, as part of the decommissioning process for the vessel, is removing the remaining systems, structures, and components that were part of the ship's nuclear power plant. The Savannah is currently part of the National Defense Reserve Fleet (NDRF) in retention status; once the nuclear power plant is fully decommissioned, MARAD is anticipating future uses for the un-powered vessel pursuant to one of several options allowed by law. Disposition will be guided by all law applicable to the selection process and dispositional alternative selected.

These future uses may constitute an undertaking under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (54 U.S.C. 300101 et seq.). The historic preservation review process mandated by Section 106 of the Act is outlined in 36 CFR part 800 "Protection

of Historic Properties" issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. Pursuant to 36 CFR part 800.2(d)(2), agencies must provide the public with information about an undertaking and its effects on historic properties and seek public comment and input. In light of this obligation, comments received will inform MARAD's review of the options described in this notice and allow commenters an opportunity to present alternatives that MARAD has not included. As such, this request for comments is narrowly focused on what MARAD should do with the Savannah once the nuclear power plant is fully decommissioned.

Accordingly, MARAD has identified two general categories of options: (1) Maintaining the vessel intact or (2) dismantling the vessel. MARAD has an interest in preserving the Nation's maritime history and envisions that any options keeping the Savannah intact would seek to promote this interest. Should the Savannah be maintained, the vessel could be maintained by a member of one of three broad groups. First, the vessel could be controlled by an agency of the Federal government; second, the vessel could be controlled by an entity outside of the Federal government; third, the vessel could be controlled by a public-private partnership between members inside and outside of the Federal government.

One possible outcome for retaining the *Savannah* would be for MARAD to continue its current control over the vessel but open it for presentation to the public as a museum ship in order to honor its historical importance. This option would implicate MARAD's ability to acquire proper funding to ensure the feasibility of such a project.

A similar option would be for MARAD to initiate consultation with other Federal agencies surrounding the retention of the Savannah. Should the Savannah be displayed by the Federal agency, the missions and competencies of the agency should ideally align with MARAD's goal of using the Savannah to preserve and showcase America's maritime and nuclear history. For that reason, and for example, MARAD has considered entering into consultation with either the Smithsonian Institution, which has a substantial history of showcasing items of important historical value to the public, or the National Park Service within the Department of the Interior, which has broad experience in preserving and managing National Historic Landmarks through its National Park Service. MARAD might also provide the Savannah to a Federal agency for use as

a maritime training platform or for other Federal purposes consistent with preservation of the vessel. Such consultation might result in agreement involving MARAD transferring the Savannah to the other Federal entity, where the vessel would then become a component of a museum system or a cooperative agreement under which MARAD would negotiate a division of responsibilities over the maintenance and operation of the vessel, with the other entity providing its expertise in converting the Savannah to and operating it as a museum with MARAD's provision of technical advice.

If MARAD decides not to transfer the Savannah to another entity of the Federal government but still wishes that it be maintained due to its historical importance, MARAD may seek to transfer the vessel to a party outside of the Federal government in one of three ways.

First, MARAD might dispose of the Savannah by donating the vessel. MARAD has the existing statutory authority to donate the Savannah to a non-profit organization, State, or subdivision of a State that can demonstrate a willingness and financial capacity to operate the vessel. Should an eligible party apply and meet these requirements, MARAD would then have the discretion to donate the Savannah to that applicant. MARAD also has the authority to, upon request, donate suitable vessels to State maritime academies for training uses to complement primary reliance on the new class of National Security Multimission Vessels as training platforms. Subject to MARAD's assurance that the recipient would properly preserve the vessel, the *Savannah* could, if found suitable, be thus used for training purposes if an application is made by a State maritime academy.

Second, MARAD also has the authority to charter the *Savannah* to any party on the basis of competitive sealed bids. Parties wishing to charter the *Savannah* must comply with the applicable statutory provisions, particularly around the requirement that MARAD dismiss any bid that does not reach a minimum threshold.

Third, MARAD might attempt to manage the *Savannah* under a public-private partnership. Public-private partnerships can fall into two general categories. The first involves retention of the *Savannah* by an entity that could be created by Congress specifically to receive and maintain the vessel. Such an entity could include a trust, a government corporation, or a non-profit organization. As this option would require a future act of Congress to create

the entity in question, the entity's exact contours would need to be determined. Ideally, this entity would manage the *Savannah* in such a way as to be financially self-sufficient.

The second type of public-private partnership would involve a contractual partnership with an outside group. MARAD might attempt to enter into agreements with outside groups, where the recipient group would operate and maintain the vessel and MARAD would

provide assistance.

Finally, besides maintaining the Savannah intact, MARAD might transfer the vessel for use in a man-made reefing project or dismantle it. First, MARAD has the authority to transfer the Savannah to a requesting state or a foreign country for that state or foreign country to sink and use it as an artificial reef. Should MARAD grant the state or foreign country's application, the Savannah would be sunk and its remnants would be used for the conservation of marine life. The other permanent disposal method that MARAD could consider is to dismantle the ship. MARAD would enter into a contract with an approved ship recycler to dismantle the ship If the contract resulted in a sale of the vessel, any proceeds would revert to statutory programs supporting the National Defense Reserve Fleet, maritime education, and maritime historic preservation and educational programs. The options of artificial-reef use or dismantlement are the least favored because the National Historic Preservation Act strongly favors the preservation of National Historic Landmarks like the Savannah whenever possible. This notice seeks comments to inform MARAD's choice over which of these uses or dispositions to pursue as well as provide an opportunity for the suggestion of any other options that have not been mentioned. As part of our review, MARAD is issuing this notice to engage the public and the broad spectrum of stakeholders that may be affected by a given disposition of the Savannah. Information received will be used to evaluate the future of the Savannah and help to determine which option to pursue.

Scope of Comments

MARAD is interested in learning the public's opinion regarding the future of the *Savannah* and which option presented, if any, is preferred. MARAD is also interested in hearing any unmentioned option. Commenters who seek to suggest new alternatives should note that at the highest level, MARAD's fundamental choice is to either dismantle the *Savannah* or leave it

intact; however, leaving the ship intact would, by statute, require the vessel to be put to some other use. Accordingly, commentators may want to focus on the following: (1) Additional uses for the Savannah that MARAD has not mentioned if the ship were to be maintained; (2) potential owners or operators of the Savannah to carry out these additional uses; and (3) any additional uses for the remnants of the ship if the ship were to be dismantle.

Content of Comments

We are interested in information on the public's view of the presented disposal options for the *Savannah* and any other feasible alternatives. If the commenter believes that there is a viable option that MARAD has not considered, the commenter should describe that option in detail.

Under this notice, MARAD is not soliciting petitions for rulemaking.

Public Participation

How do I submit comments?

Please submit your comments, including the attachments, following the instructions provided under the above heading entitled ADDRESSES. Be advised that it may take a few hours or even days for your comment to be reflected on the docket. In addition, your comments must be written in English. We encourage you to provide concise comments and you may attach additional documents as necessary. There is no limit on the length of the attachments.

Please note that even after the comment period has closed, MARAD will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available.

Where do I go to read public comments, and find supporting information?

Go to the docket online at http://www.regulations.gov., keyword search MARAD-2020-0133 or visit us in person at the Docket Management Facility (see ADDRESSES for hours of operation). We recommend that you periodically check the Docket for new submissions and supporting material.

Will my comments be made available to the public?

Yes. Be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, will be made publicly available.

May I submit comments confidentially?

If you wish to submit comments under a claim of confidentiality, you should submit three copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information, to the Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, Office of Legislation and Regulations, MAR–225, W24–220, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Include a cover letter setting forth with specificity the basis for any such claim and, if possible, a summary of your submission that can be made available to the public.

Privacy Act

DOT posts comments, without edit, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice, DOT/ALL-14 FDMS, accessible through www.dot.gov/privacy. To facilitate comment tracking and response, we encourage commenters to provide their name, or the name of their organization; however, submission of names is completely optional. Whether or not commenters identify themselves, all timely comments will be fully considered. If you wish to provide comments containing proprietary or confidential information, please contact the agency for alternate submission instructions.

(Authority: 49 CFR Sections 1.92 and 1.93)

Dated: January 8, 2021.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

T. Mitchell Hudson, Jr.

 $Secretary, Maritime\ Administration. \\ [FR\ Doc.\ 2021-00527\ Filed\ 1-12-21;\ 8:45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2020-0119]

Notice Regarding the Applicability of NHTSA FMVSS Test Procedures to Certifying Manufacturers

Correction

In notice document 2020–28107 appearing on pages 83143–83152 in the issue of Monday, December 21, 2020, make the following correction:

(1) On page 83143, in the second column, in the **DATES** section, change "January 20, 2021" to read "January 21, 2021."

[FR Doc. C1–2020–28107 Filed 1–12–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1301–00–D